AN EVALUATION OF MERCURY FATE AND TRANSPORT IN MINING-INFLUENCED WATER TREATED WITH ENHANCED SULFATE REDUCTION BIOCHEMICAL REACTORS

Stephen Dent, Ph.D.

Coauthors: Nick Anton, Dave Reisman (CDM Smith), Chris Cora, Chris Eckley, Souhail Al-Abed, Kira Lynch (USEPA) Clu-In Webinar

March 29, 2016



Clu-In Topic: Identifying the Potential for Methylation of Mercury at Mine Sites

Presentation Objectives

- Background: Mercury in the Environment
 - Mercury Advisories
 - Food-Web Interactions
 - Trace Mercury Assessments
- Biochemical Reactors Mining Impacted Water
 - Formosa Treatability Study
 - Treatment Configuration and Setup
 - Mercury Assessment Results
 - ORD Bench Top Study
 - Laboratory Configuration and Setup
 - Mercury Assessment Results
- General Conclusions
- Recommendations





Outline

Anthropogenic Point Source Impacts

Minamata Bay



- Acetaldehyde Production 1932 -1968
- 27 Tons Methylmercury Dumped Into the Bay
- First Case: 1956
- 900 Killed
- 2 Million w/ Severe Health Effects



Mercury Advisories in US





UNITED STAN

4

Background

Non-Point Source Impact

- 367 Stream Sites Sampled Across United States
- Sites with Fish Greater than 0.3 μg/g or mg/kg or ppm
 - 25% Exceedances
- Sites with Fish Greater than 0.6 μg/g or mg/kg or ppm
 - 10% Exceedances



Mercury Methylation to Bioaccumulation



Working the Problem Backwards (MeHg)



Total Mercury in Mined vs. Unmined Streams



Methylmercury in Mined vs. Unmined Streams



Background



Method 1630 Trace Methylmercury & 1631 Trace Total Mercury

Detection Limits (Brooks Rand Instruments)

- THg: <0.03 ng/L
- MeHg: <0.002 ng/L

Battelle Marine Science Laboratory





Presentation Objectives

- Background: Mercury in the Environment
 - Mercury advisories
 - Food-web interactions
 - Trace mercury assessments
- Biochemical Reactors (BCRs) Mining Impacted Water
 - Formosa Treatability Study
 - Treatment configuration and setup
 - Mercury assessment results
 - ORD Bench Top Study
 - Laboratory configuration and setup
 - Mercury assessment results
- General Conclusions
- Recommendations



11



Outline

Formosa Mine Impacted Water

Select Metals	Average 2009 -2012 (μg/L)
Cadmium	286
Chromium III	9
Copper	17,436
Iron	167,286
Lead	49
Manganese	2,106
Mercury	0.003
Nickel	66
Silver	1
Zinc	82,700

CDM Smith 2014



Formosa Treatability	12	CDM	
		Smith	

Biochemical Reactors

- BCRs are engineered systems that use an organic substrate (electron donor) to drive microbial (sulfate reducing bacteria (SRBs)) and chemical reactions to reduce concentrations of metals, acidity, and sulfate in MIW.
 - Reactors for this Study:
 - Successive Alkalinity Producing System (SAPS) Pre-Treatment Only
 - ChitoRem[®] Mix: SC-20/Sand/Gravel
 - SC-20 a blend of calcium carbonate, protein, and processed crab shells
 - Woody Substrate/Manure Including Limestone

BCR Chemistry (Also Ideal for Hg(II) formation)

$$-SO_4^{-2} + 2CH_2O \rightarrow HS^- + 2HCO_3^- + H^+$$

- S^{2-} + $Me^{2+} \rightarrow MeS(s)$ and $HS^{-} + Me^{2+} \rightarrow MeS(s) + H^{+}$



Treatability Configuration



Mercury Assessment on BCR Evaluation

- Checklist for Mercury Methylation
 - Anoxic conditions:
 - E-Donors (organic carbon):
 - E-Acceptors (sulfate/iron):
- Question: Considering inorganic mercury is methylated by sulfate reducing bacteria, could the environment inside a BCR contribute to MeHg in the effluent stream?



BCR Setup Below Adit



Mercury Results

Trace Mercury (EPA 1631) ~ 2 months



Mercury Results (Continued)

Methyl Mercury (EPA 1630) ~2 months



Formosa Mercury Evaluation Summary

- All Manure BCRs Decreased THg
 - Slight increases in MeHg
- All SC-20 BCRs Increased THg and MeHg
 - THg Increased 10 to 20 ng/L
 - MeHg Increased 1 to 2.7 ng/L
- Release of Hg from BCR
 - SC-20 ground crab shell and tissue: Crab Hg body burden ~ 0.16 mg/kg
 - Sand/Gravel

- Release or Generation of MeHg
 - Potential Resident Source:
 - MeHg effluent higher than THg influent
 - Aquatic organisms typically enriched in MeHg
 - Potential MeHg Generation
 - Evidence of SRB activity
 - ORP and Sulfide
 - Hg(II) sourced from influent and media
 - Slight MeHg increase in manure BCRs



Presentation Objectives

- Background: Mercury in the Environment
 - Mercury advisories
 - Food-web interactions
 - Trace mercury assessments
- Biochemical Reactors Mining Impacted Water
 - Formosa Treatability Study
 - Treatment configuration and setup
 - Mercury assessment results
 - ORD Bench Top Study
 - Laboratory configuration and setup
 - Mercury assessment results
- Conclusions
- Recommendations





<section-header>

Tip-meter

Influent Reservoir Safety Relief

ORP Electrode

Influent

Valve

Head Space

Glass Beads

Chitin + Sand Mixture

Glass Beads

Secondary Containment Trav

21

ORP Electrode

Sampling Syringe

Sampling Syringe

Tedlar Bag

Influent and Column Specifics

24 hr Hydraulic Residence Time

- Column 1: SC-20 + Sand Pretreat MIW
- Column 2: Wood Chips + Manure PreTreat MIW
- Column 3: SC-20 + Sand Raw MIW
- Column 4: Wood Chips + Hay + Manure Raw MIW
- Column 5: SC-20 + Sand Na Azide Raw MIW (abiotic)
- Column 6: Sand Na Azide Raw MIW (abiotic)



Effluent

Total Mercury: 34 Weeks into Test

Data Provided by EPA ORD Laboratory

Total Mercury



Total Mercury 34 Weeks into Test

- Wood/Manure BCRs
 - Buffer capacity gone after 34 weeks
 - Pre-Treat BCR has no sign of SRB activity
 - Raw BCR has diminished sign of SRB activity
 - BCRs a sink for THg

- SC-20/Sand BCRs
 - Buffer capacity maintained
 - Both Pre-Treat and Raw BCR have continued sign of SRB activity (low ORP, reduced sulfate, increased sulfide)
 - BCRs a sink for THg
 - In contrast with Formosa Treatability Study



Methylmercury 34 Weeks into Test

Total Methylmercury



Methylmercury 34 Weeks into Test

- Wood/Manure BCRs
 - No real change between influent and effluent
- SC-20/Sand BCRs
 - Raw BCR contributing MeHg to effluent
 - PreTreat BCR has no real change between influent and effluent
 - Abiotic BCR contributing up to 3 ng/ L MeHg.
 - Most likely sourced from media



Presentation Objectives

- Background: Mercury in the Environment
 - Mercury advisories
 - Food-web interactions
 - Trace mercury assessments
- Biochemical Reactors Mining Impacted Water
 - Formosa Treatability Study
 - Treatment configuration and setup
 - Mercury assessment results
 - ORD Bench Top Study
 - Laboratory configuration and setup
 - Mercury assessment results
- General Conclusions
- Recommendations





Key Difference Between Treatability and Bench Top Study

- Duration and Flow Consistency:
 - Treatability Study ran for 8 weeks
 - Treatability Study flow gravity fed and slowed over time
 - Bench top study ran for 34 weeks
 - Bench top flow consistent over time
- Total Mercury Source from SC-20/Sand Media
 - THg source in Treatability Study after 8 weeks
 - No THg source observed in Bench Top Study after 34 weeks
- Methylmercury Source from SC-20/Sand Media
 - MeHg effluent greater than THg influent during Treatability Study
 - MeHg effluent increased in abiotic BCR during Bench Top Study



Conclusions

- Wood/Manure BCRs chemical reduction and buffer capacity diminished over the study period, however, they remained mercury sinks throughout study
- Inorganic mercury appeared to flush from SC-20/Sand media following 34 weeks of continuous flow
- SC-20/sand media likely a source of MeHg and remained so after 34 weeks of continuous flow
 - MeHg in effluent greater in abiotic Bench Top BCR than all Treatability Study effluents





• Concentrations in effluent considerably elevated in MeHg relative to mercury impacted basins in United States





Recommendations

- Quantify mercury load in all material, with speciation.
- Perform mass balance to determine how long it would take to flush MeHg associated with media
- MeHg load from media prevented effective analysis of Hg(II) methylation potential in BCRs
 - Repeat study with fully flushed media
- Wood/Manure BCRs may be a good polishing step for SC-20 Applications



Acknowledgements

- Formosa Treatability Study:
 - Performed by CDM Smith Federal Programs: Task Order 047 for Architectural and Engineering Services (AES10) Contract Number 68-S7-03-04
- ORD Bench Top Study:
 - Mercury Component Funded by the Superfund and Technology Liaison
 Extramural Funding (2013); Project Code TEC-961J,L,M
- EPA Contributors
 - Chris Cora, Project RPM, EPA Region 10, Seattle, WA;
 - Chris Eckley, PhD, Mining Geochemist, EPA Region 10, Seattle, WA;

- Souhail Al-Abed, PhD, Work
 Assignment Manager, EPA Region 5, Cincinnati, OH;
- Kira Lynch, Environmental Scientist, Region 10, Seattle, WA;
- John McKernan, Director of Engineering Technical Support Center, Region 5, Cincinnati, OH
- CDM Smith Contributors
 - Mike Allen, Project PM
 - Nicholas R. Anton, PE, Environmental Engineer, Denver, CO;
 - David J. Reisman, Sr. Environme
 Scientist, Cincinnati, OH







Contact Info

Stephen Dent, PhD

Cell: 971-201-6976 **Office:** 503-205-7419

e-mail: dentsr@cdmsmith.com

